Biological Applications of Deep Learning Lecture 7

Alexander Schönhuth



Bielefeld University November 23, 2022

CONTENTS TODAY

- ► Going deeper
 - Motivation
 - Datasets
- ► AlexNet
 - ► The breakthrough: 8 hidden layers
- ► VGG
 - Systematically investigating filter size
- Network in Network
 - Avoid fully connected layers altogether
- ► GoogLeNet
 - Let the network choose filter size by itself
- ► ResNet
 - Avoid learning the identity function
- UNIVE BITÄFurther: ResNeXt, DenseNet

Going Deeper – Architecture Development



MOTIVATION: REMINDER

THE UNIVERSAL APPROXIMATION THEOREM

- Accuracy of approximation of (arbitrary) function f by NN \hat{f} increases exponentially on increasing number of hidden layers
- See [Cybenko, 1989, doi:10.1007/BF02551274], [Hornik, 1991, doi:10.1016/0893-6080(91)90009-T]
- ► See [Montufar et al., 2014]:

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1402.1869.pdf

Explanation:

http://neuralnetworksanddeeplearning.com/chap4.html

• Further resources for the following:

```
https://adeshpande3.github.io/
The-9-Deep-Learning-Papers-You-Need-To-Know-About.
html
```

https://www.analyticsvidhya.com/blog/2017/08/

10-advanced-deep-learning-architectures-data-scientists/



IMAGENET AND ILSVRC

DATASET AND FIRST RESULTS



ImageNet examples: "beading plane", "brown root rot fungus", "scalded milk", "common roundworm"

- ► *ImageNet dataset*: 16 million full color images; 20 000 categories
- Starting point: Le, Ranzato, Monga, Devin, Chen, Corrado, Dean & Ng: "Building high-level features using large scale unsupervised learning", 2012, https://ai.google/research/pubs/pub38115 achieved 15.3 % test accuracy
- ► *ILSVRC*: Image-Net Large-Scale Visual Recognition Challenge
 - 2012: 1000 categories; Training 1.2 million images; Validation 50 000 images; Test 150 000 images



GOING DEEPER



https://icml.cc/2016/tutorials/icml2016_tutorial_deep_residual_ networks_kaiminghe.pdf; Note: correct error rate for AlexNet is 15.4%



AlexNet



ALEXNET



AlexNet from Krizhevsky, Sutskever & Hinton, 2012,

http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~fritz/absps/imagenet.pdf

- ► *Input layer*: 3 × 224 × 224 (= 224 × 224 RGB pixels)
- ► *First hidden layer*: convolutional + max-pooling
 - ► 11 × 11 sized filters
 - stride length 4
 - 96 feature maps in total
 - ▶ 48 each are run on separate GPU;
 - max-pooling [also in later layers] is 3 × 3, 2 pixels apart (so overlap)



ALEXNET

Second hidden layer: convolutional + max-pooling

- ► 5 × 5 sized filters
- 256 feature maps in total
- 128 each are run on separate GPU; each of those receive only 48 input channels

► *Third, fourth, fifth layer*: convolutional (no max-pooling)

- ► *Third*: 384 feature maps, 3 × 3, and 256 input channels [with some inter-GPU communication]
- ► Fourth: [384, 3 × 3, 192]; Fifth: [256, 3 × 3, 192]
- ► *Sixth, seventh layer*: fully connected, 4 096 neurons
- Output layer: 1000-unit softmax layer



PADDING: DEFINITION

DEFINITION [PADDING]:

Padding refers to pad images / feature maps with artificial zeros such that one can extend local receptive fields to their borders.



Extending 3x3 image (solid lines) to 5x5 image using padding (dashed lines), and applying 2x2 filters yields 4x4 feature map From http://d2l.ai/



STRIDE: DEFINITION

DEFINITION [STRIDE]:

Stride is the amount of rows / columns (vertical / horizontal stride) to shift the local receptive field across the image / feature map.



Applying 3x3 filter to 7x7 image, no padding, at identical vertical and horizontal stride. *Left:* Stride 1 yields 5x5 feature map. *Right:* Stride 2 yields 3x3 feature map.

 $From \ \texttt{https://developersbreach.com/convolution-neural-network-deep-learning/}$



ALEXNET Comparison with LeNet



Comparison: LeNet (left) versus AlexNet (right)



From http://d2l.ai/

ALEXNET

FURTHER FEATURES AND ILSVRC PERFORMANCE

- ► Further architecture features:
 - Rectified linear neurons (original LeNet: sigmoid)
 - Regularization: L2 + dropout
 - Optimization: Momentum-based stochastic gradient descent
- ILSVRC Performance: Achieved 63.3 % accuracy; 84.7 % "top-5 accuracy" (if the correct label is among the top-5 predictions of the NN), followed by 73.8 % by the second-best performing contestant
- Why important? AlexNet's amazing performance rate meant the "coming out" for CNNs in computer vision



VGG



VGG



VGG from Simonyan & Zisserman, 2014 https://arxiv.org/pdf/1409.1556v6.pdf

► From Visual Geometry Group (VGG) at Oxford University



VGG: MOTIVATION

- Transition from individual layers to blocks of layers
- ► Systematic exploration of depth in combination with filter size
- Exemplary question: what is better?
 - One layer using 5×5 -filters
 - ► Two layers each using 3 × 3-filters
 - Both bring together same pixels
 - ▶ But: one 5 × 5-filter uses as many parameters (25) as three 3 × 3-filters (27)
- ► A VGG block consists of sequence of
 - ► 3 × 3-convolutions at padding 1 (preserving width and length)
 - ▶ followed by 2 × 2-pooling at stride 2 (halving width and length)



VGG: MOTIVATION II

COMPARISON WITH ALEXNET



Comparison: AlexNet (left) versus VGG (right)

From http://d2l.ai/



VGG

ConvNet Configuration					
A	A-LRN	В	C	D	E
11 weight	11 weight	13 weight	16 weight	16 weight	19 weight
lavers	lavers	lavers	lavers	lavers	lavers
input (224 × 224 BCB imag				10,000	
111 1224×224 ROB III 123)	2000/2 64
conv5-04	LDN	conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64
	LKN	conv3-04	conv3-64	conv3-04	conv3-64
				2.120	2.120
conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128
		conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128
maxpool					
conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256
conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256
			conv1-256	conv3-256	conv3-256
					conv3-256
maxpool					
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512
			conv1-512	conv3-512	conv3-512
					conv3-512
maxpool					
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512
			conv1-512	conv3-512	conv3-512
					conv3-512
maxpool					
FC-4096					
FC-4096					
FC-1000					
soft-max					

Different architectures tested in Simonyan & Zisserman, 2014

The yellow architecture yielded best performance in ILSVRC 2014



VGG Features

- ► Uses only 3 × 3 filters;
 - argues that consecutive application of 3 × 3 filters yields virtual larger filters
 - For example, two 3×3 in a row yield virtual 5×5 filter
- ► 19(!) layers
- Less parameters than AlexNet
- ReLU layers and batch gradient descent



VGG Features

- ► Advantages:
 - ► Top-5 accuracy: 92.7% (vs. 83.7% from AlexNet)
 - Good architecture for benchmarking
 - Pre-trained networks available
- Disadvantage: very slow to train, training needs two to three weeks
- ► Why important:
 - Reinforced that CNNs have to be sufficiently deep to work well
 - "Keep it deep. Keep it simple."



Network in Network (NiN)



NIN: MOTIVATION

- AlexNet and VGG consume tremendous amount of parameters
- ► *Reason:* Fully connected layers towards the end of networks
- ► Example:
 - ▶ VGG-11, a simple VGG version, maintains a 25088 × 4096 matrix
 - The matrix alone occupies almost 400 MB of RAM in single precision (FP32)
- Motivation: Make CNN based analysis possible even on cell phones



NIN: IDEA

- Fully connected layers provide additional non-linearity
- ► Idea: Re-establish non-linearity in other ways
- ► Key Techniques:
 - Make use of 1 × 1 convolution
 adds local non-linearity across channels
 - Use global average pooling
 Effective only because of the added non-linearity





Comparison: VGG versus NiN

From http://d2l.ai/



NIN: SUMMARY

- NiN avoids fully connected layers altogether
- Consequence: Dramatically less parameters than VGG and AlexNet
- ► 1 × 1 filters reduce parameters when implementing non-linearity across channels
- Global average pooling yields non-linearity across locations
 No learned parameter required at all
- Both 1 × 1 convolution and global average pooling influenced later CNN design significantly
- ► *Reference*:
 - "Network in network", Lin et al., 2014, https://arxiv.org/pdf/1312.4400.pdf



GoogLeNet a.k.a. Inception Network



HOW TO CHOOSE FILTER SIZE?

- In convolutional neural networks, how to determine the optimal filter size?
- ► 3x3, 5x5, 7x7? (for example); which one to use in which layer?
- ► Idea: offer all, and let the network learn by itself
- ► Under any circumstances:

"We need to go deeper" [Inception, Christopher Nolan, 2010]



INCEPTION MODULE



(Szegedy et al., original paper)

- ► *Idea*: one layer looks like above
- During training, NN chooses way through filters by itself
- ▶ *Problem*: Non-negligible increase in parameters / channels



SOLUTION: 1x1 CONVOLUTION



From https://arxiv.org/pdf/1409.4842.pdf

- 1x1 convolution mends the issue
- ► *Example:* Applying 20 (60 × 1 × 1)-filters to 60 × 100 × 100-layer yields 20 × 100 × 100-layer
- Explanations: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HunX473yXEI



GOOGLELENET: ARCHITECTURE



Inception block (top) and GoogLeNet (a.k.a. Inception Network) (bottom)

From http://d2l.ai/chapter_convolutional-modern/googlenet.html



GOOGLENET: FEATURES

► Advantages:

- ► Top-5 accuracy: 93.4% (vs. 92.7% from VGG)
- ▶ Performs various computational operations in parallel, and
- stacks amazing 22 layers, while
- being computationally reasonable: training needs a week

► Why important:

- Points out that CNNs do not need to be stacked sequentially
- Set the stage for further amazing architectures



ResNet



MOTIVATION

ARE WE READY TO SIMPLY STACK LAYERS?



- Training(!) accuracy decreases on stacking layers
- Despite having solved the vanishing gradient problem
- ► What else could be the reason?



MOTIVATION: PRACTICAL HINTS



- ► Solution by construction:
 - Original layers fixed: copied from shallower model
 - Extra layers: should learn identity function
 - However: training error increases in deeper model
- Solvers have trouble learning identity function
- In other words: deep models struggle with excessive layers

MOTIVATION: THEORETICAL HINTS

ARE WE READY TO SIMPLY STACK LAYERS?



From http://d2l.ai/chapter_convolutional-modern/resnet.html

Reminder:

- Let f^* be true function, and \mathcal{F} a (parameterized) class of functions
 - ► For example, *F* reflect certain NN's following a particular architecture
- Let L(.,.) be a loss function. The task is to determine

$$\hat{f} = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{f \in \mathcal{F}} L(f, f^*)$$

UNIVERSITÄT BIELEFELD

MOTIVATION: THEORETICAL HINTS

ARE WE READY TO SIMPLY STACK LAYERS?



From http://d2l.ai/chapter_convolutional-modern/resnet.html

Insight:

- Consider class of functions \mathcal{F}_2 larger than \mathcal{F}
- $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{F}_2$ only guaranteed when $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_2$

Ensure that earlier solutions can be reproduced on increasing depth



ResNet Unit



- ► *Idea*: Bypass two layers by identity
- ► If identify function is to be learnt (or small modifications)
 - F(x) is to be learnt as zero as for NN's
 - Earlier solutions can be reproduced on stacking layers



ResNet Unit



• Let network function be G(x) = F(x) + x

► Name:

$$F(x) = G(x) - x$$

referred to as residual mapping

UNIVERSITÄT BIELEFELD

ResNet Block



Full ResNet block without (left) or with (right) 1×1 convolution

From http://d2l.ai/chapter_convolutional-modern/resnet.html

- ResNet blocks follow VGG design, but add residual link
- ► Apply 1 × 1-convolution to match number of channels
- UNIVERSITÄ BIELEFELD

FULL RESIDUAL NETWORK (RESNET)



Plain network (bottom) vs. ResNet (top)

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1512.03385.pdf

(original paper)



RESNET RESULTS



https://arxiv.org/pdf/1512.03385.pdf

(original paper)



RESNET RESULTS



https://arxiv.org/pdf/1512.03385.pdf

(original paper)



RESNET

FEATURES

► Advantages:

- ► Top-5 accuracy: 96.4(!)% (vs. 93.4% from GoogLeNet)
- ► "Ultra-deep": 152(!) layers
- ► Just quite simply the best (back in 2016)

► Why important:

- Breakthrough in going ultra-deep
- Stacking ResNet units do not require extra performance upgrades (while necessary with ordinary CNNs)



ResNeXt



RESNEXT: MOTIVATION I

• *Goal:* Increase level of non-linearity; possible strategies:

- Increase depth (explored!)
- Increase size of convolution filters (explored!)
- Increase number of channels (explore here!)
- ▶ *Drawback: c*^{*i*} input and *c*^{*o*} output channels amount to

$$\mathcal{O}(c_i \cdot c_o)$$

computational cost

- ► Idea Grouped Convolution:
 - ▶ Break up convolution from *c*^{*i*} to *c*^{*o*} channels into ...
 - ... *g* groups, each reflecting convolution from c_i/g to c_o/g channels



ResNeXt: Motivation II

► Idea – Grouped Convolution:

▶ Break up convolution from *c*^{*i*} to *c*^{*o*} channels into ...

- ... *g* groups, each reflecting convolution from c_i/g to c_o/g channels
- *Cost:* Reduction from $\mathcal{O}(c_i \cdot c_o)$ to

$$\mathcal{O}(g \cdot c_i/g \cdot c_o/g) = \mathcal{O}(c_i \cdot c_o/g)$$

so speed-up by factor of g

Number of parameters also reduced by factor of g:

- From matrices of size $c_i \times c_o$ to ...
- ... g matrices of size $c_i \cdot c_o/g^2$



ResNeXt: Challenge

- Grouped Convolution Challenge: No exchange of information between groups
- Solution: Sandwich grouped convolution in between layers of 1 × 1-convolution
 - ► Before, from *c* to b/g channels, *g* times $\square cost O(g \cdot c \cdot b/g) = O(c \cdot b)$
 - After, from *b* back to *c* channels
 Image: Image cost O(b ⋅ c) as well
- Additional benefit: Group convolutions at cost $O(b^2/g)$
- *Residual connection:* 1×1 convolution; cost $O(c^2)$



ResNeXt Block



Full ResNeXt block

From http://d2l.ai/chapter_convolutional-modern/resnet.html

- ► Idea dates back to AlexNet: Distribute convolutions across GPU's
- Although less complex, no losses in accuracy observed
- Reference: "Aggregated residual transformations for deep neural networks.", Xie et al., CVPR 2017, https://openaccess.thecvf.com/content_

UNIVERSITAT_VDr_2017/papers/Xie_Aggregated_Residual_Transformations_CVPR_2017_paper.pdf BELEFELD

DenseNet



DENSENET: MOTIVATION I

► Inspiration: Recall Taylor expansion

$$f(x) = f(0) + x \left[f'(0) + x \left[\frac{f''(0)}{2!} + x \left[\frac{f'''(0)}{3!} + \dots \right] \right] \right]$$
(1)

ResNet decomposes network function *f*(*x*) into (earlier notation changed!)

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} + g(\mathbf{x}) \tag{2}$$

into simple linear term (**x**) and complex non-linear one ($g(\mathbf{x})$)

• *DenseNet – Idea:* Try more complex decompositions of $f(\mathbf{x})$



DENSENET BLOCK: DESIGN



Instead of adding (ResNet; left) concatenate output (DenseNet; right)

From http://d2l.ai/chapter_convolutional-modern/densenet.html

- Concatenate output of branches instead of adding it
- ► This yields formula

 $\mathbf{x} \to [\mathbf{x}, f_1(\mathbf{x}), f_2([\mathbf{x}, f_1(\mathbf{x})]), f_3([\mathbf{x}, f_1(\mathbf{x}), f_2([\mathbf{x}, f_1(\mathbf{x})])]), \ldots]$ (3)



DENSENET: ARCHITECTURE



Chain of DenseNet layers.

From http://d2l.ai/chapter_convolutional-modern/resnet.html

► Characteristics:

- Number of features increases on increasing depth
- Last layer connected to all layers before
- ► *Name:* Dependency graph is *dense*



DENSENET: CHALLENGE

- *Challenge:* Keep number of features at affordable level
- ► Solution: DenseNet has dense blocks and transition layers
- ► Dense blocks:
 - Follow modified version of ResNet blocks, implementing batch normalization, activation and convolution
 - Consist of multiple convolution blocks, each having same number of output channels
 - During forward propagation, dimensionality increases due to concatenation
- ► Transition layers:
 - ▶ Reduce number of channels by 1 × 1-convolution
 - Halves height and width via average pooling at stride 2



References

- http://neuralnetworksanddeeplearning.com/, Chapter 6, "Recent progress in image recognition"
- http://d2l.ai/chapter_convolutional-modern/ index.html, Chapter 8
- Recurrent neural networks



Outlook

- Biological Applications I
 - Framing problems as image recognition problems
- Biological Applications II
 - Convolving sequence data



Thanks for your attention

