



Name student:Project type:Select an option..Student number:Rubrics used to motivate:Select an option..Research group:Master's Programme:Select an option..

Rubric Presentation

Criteria	Insufficient: fails to meet academic requirements	Satisfactory: meets academic requirements	Excellent: belongs to the top 10%*
Content	Content: Insufficient	Content: Satisfactory	Content: Excellent
Scientific content	Relevance unclear	Relevance is clear	Excellent mastery of subject
	Research question absent, unclear or lacks focus	Research question clear and focussed	Highlights selected
	Inadequate description of methods	Clear description of methods	Choices of methods are justified
	Poor explanation of results/tables/figures	Good explanation of results/tables/figures	Clear concise explanation of results/tables/figures
	Discussion lacks essential issues	Valid discussion	Critical in depth discussion
	Inadequate answers to raised questions	Can answer most of the questions raised	Correct answers to questions raised
	Inadequate response to critique	Adequate response to critique	Can value critique/suggestions
	Tables/figures contain too much or too little details	Tables/figures require explanation	Informative tables/figures
	References for claims/ illustrations are missing	Some claims/ illustrations are not reference	Key claims and illustrations are referenced
Presentation technique	Presentation: Insufficient	Presentation: Satisfactory	Presentation: Excellent
Nonverbal skills	Limited eye contact with audience	Regular eye contact with the audience	Captures the audience
	Body language is annoying/distracting	Body language is adequate	Body language is constructive / effective
	Incapable of continuing adequately after an error	Errors have only little impact on the presenter	Continues in an adequate manner after errors
Speaking skills	Speaks either too fast or too slow	Acceptable pace	Good pace
	Insufficient English	Reasonable proficiency in English	Fluent in English
	Loses attention of the audience	Gets attention of the audience	Maintains constant attention of the audience
	Too difficult/easy for audience	Compatible with audience	
Composition and design	Composition and design: Insufficient	Composition and design: Satisfactory	Composition and design: Excellent
Length of presentation	Too long/too short (+/- 25%)	Adequate time schedule (+/- 10%)	
Visuals of presentation	• Too much info/detail on a single slide	Appropriate	Slides conveniently arranged
	 Inadequate slide quality (technical, compositional) 		 Very clear message per slide
Grammar / spelling	Distracting grammar / spelling errors	Grammar / spelling correct	
Use of references	Larger number of references distracts	Referral of tables, figures etc. is correct	
	 Referral is insufficient, inconsistent, incomplete or incorrect** 	Appropriate number of references per slide	

Structure of presentation	Parts of presentation are missing	All required parts are present	Smooth transitions between topics
	Incorrect balance of presentation	 Logical order of the parts and slides 	
	components	Correct balance presentation components	
	Absence of logical order		
Professional attitude	Professional attitude: Insufficient	Professional attitude: Satisfactory	Professional attitude: Excellent
Handling feedback	Many feedback sessions are required	Regular feedback sessions needed	The amount of feedback needed was minimal
	Minimal improvement based on feedback	Feedback led to reasonable improvements	Response to feedback yielded excellent improvements
Critical attitude	 Critical attitude is absent Self-reflection is absent 	Shows self-reflection and has critical attitude towards (published) research	Critical attitude is based on intellectual depth and profundity
Integrity, Conscientiousness	Data manipulated or left out**	Accurate, reliable and trustworthy	

Name Supervisor: