Big Data Analytics: Introduction

Alexander Schönhuth



Bielefeld University April 15, 2021

- None of today's topics plays an explicit role in assignments/exercises or the exam
- ▶ But they may reappear in other topics, and then play an implicit role
- Goal today is to get fundamental ideas about the following crucial topics



Organizational matters

What is Data Mining?

Statistical Limits

Useful Things

<ロト < (日) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (



► Organization:

- How do lectures, tutorials etc work
- What tools will be used

▶ What does *Data Mining* mean? What is the meaning of

- Statistical/Computational Modeling
- Summarization
- Feature Extraction
- What are Statistical Limits on Data Mining
 - Bonferroni's Principle
- ▶ Which are Useful Things to Know
 - Word importance (example): the TD.IDF measure
 - Hash functions
 - Secondary storage and the effects on runtime
 - The natural logarithm and important identities based on it

<ロト < @ ト < 差 ト < 差 ト 差 の < ?⁶

Power laws



► Organization:

- How do lectures, tutorials etc work
- What tools will be used

▶ What does Data Mining mean? What is the meaning of

- Statistical/Computational Modeling
- Summarization
- Feature Extraction
- What are Statistical Limits on Data Mining

Bonferroni's Principle

- ▶ Which are Useful Things to Know
 - Word importance (example): the TD.IDF measure
 - Hash functions
 - Secondary storage and the effects on runtime
 - The natural logarithm and important identities based on it

<ロト < 部 > < 注 > < 注 > < 注 > のへへ⁶

Power laws



► Organization:

- How do lectures, tutorials etc work
- What tools will be used

▶ What does Data Mining mean? What is the meaning of

- Statistical/Computational Modeling
- Summarization
- Feature Extraction
- What are Statistical Limits on Data Mining
 - ► Bonferroni's Principle
- ▶ Which are Useful Things to Know
 - Word importance (example): the TD.IDF measure
 - Hash functions
 - Secondary storage and the effects on runtime
 - The natural logarithm and important identities based on it

<ロト < 部 > < 注 > < 注 > < 注 > のへへ⁶

Power laws



► Organization:

- How do lectures, tutorials etc work
- What tools will be used

▶ What does Data Mining mean? What is the meaning of

- Statistical/Computational Modeling
- Summarization
- Feature Extraction
- What are Statistical Limits on Data Mining
 - ► Bonferroni's Principle
- Which are Useful Things to Know
 - ► Word importance (example): the TD.IDF measure
 - Hash functions
 - Secondary storage and the effects on runtime
 - The natural logarithm and important identities based on it
 - Power laws



Organizational matters

What is Data Mining?

Statistical Limits

Useful Things

+ ロ ト 4 湿 ト 4 Ξ ト 4 Ξ ト Ξ - の Q ()⁶



PREREQUISITES, LECTURES, EXERCISES

Course prerequisites: Databases I (Datenbanken I)

 Lectures: Thursdays, 10-12, via Zoom meetings as per links provided

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < ○ < ○ ⁶

Exercises: 5 assignments + 1 exam preparation session



PREREQUISITES, LECTURES, EXERCISES

- Course prerequisites: Databases I (Datenbanken I)
- Lectures: Thursdays, 10-12, via Zoom meetings as per links provided

Exercises: 5 assignments + 1 exam preparation session



PREREQUISITES, LECTURES, EXERCISES

- Course prerequisites: Databases I (Datenbanken I)
- Lectures: Thursdays, 10-12, via Zoom meetings as per links provided

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 < つ < < ⁶

► Exercises: 5 assignments + 1 exam preparation session



Assignments, Exam

► Tutorials/Assignments:

- New exercise sheets provided on Thursdays April 22, May 6, May 27, June 10, June 24, July 15) after the lecture
- Exercises to be submitted by Tuesday, 23:59 twelve days thereafter, discussion on Wednesday, Thursday same week
- Submission of exercises in groups of (approximately) 5 people
- Each group is supposed to present one exercise sheet in one of the tutorials (ideal scenario)
- ▶ Upload to corresponding folder in the "Lernraum Plus"
- ► First exercise sheet uploaded on 22nd of April (next week)

► Exam:

- Likely online exam, planned for Thursday, July 29, 2021
 10:00-12:00 (may be subject to changes due to situation; we will communicate changes as timely as possible)
- ► Admitted: everyone exceeding 50% of total exercise points



TUTORIALS

- Every Wednesday, 16-18 and Thursday, 16-18
- 4 tutorials, 3 tutors: Maren Knop, Swen Simon and Harsha Manjunath
- Assignment of people to the 4 tutorials via Lernraum Plus (details will follow soon)
- One tutorial per day (Wednesday or Thursday) in English, the other one in German (ideal scenario)
- Zoom meetings, link will be provided in time
- Presentation of individual solutions during the online meeting, by groups of 2-3 people



COURSE MATERIAL

- ... available on course website: https://gds.techfak. uni-bielefeld.de/teaching/2021summer/bda
 - Slides and pointers to literature
 - Excercise sheets
- Lernraum Plus: https://lernraumplus. uni-bielefeld.de/course/view.php?id=9839

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 < つ < < ⁶

- Submission of exercise solutions
- Self-managed forum



LITERATURE AND LINKS

- Jure Leskovec, Anand Rajaraman, Jeffrey David Ullman (2019). *Mining of Massive Datasets*. 3rd Edition, Cambridge University Press.
- Download: http://infolab.stanford.edu/ ~ullman/mmds/book0n.pdf
- Materials: http://www.mmds.org/
- Other Books: See eKVV. For maximum consistency in online times, other books less relevant.

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 < つ < < ⁶

► *Further Links:* To be provided during course.



COURSE CURRICULUM

Part 1: Foundations

- ► Finding Similar Items I + II
- MapReduce / Workflow Systems I + II
- Mining Data Streams I + II
- Mining Frequent Itemsets
- Clustering

Part 2: Applications

- Link Analysis (PageRank) I + II
- ► Recommendation Systems

< ロ > < 戸 > < 三 > < 三 > 、 三 の へ ^e

- Web Advertisements
- Social Networks



Organizational matters

What is Data Mining?

Statistical Limits

Useful Things

+ ロ ト 4 湿 ト 4 Ξ ト 4 Ξ ト Ξ - の Q ()⁶



The 4 V's of Big Data



Provided by IBM Big Data & Analytics Hub

 $\mathcal{O} @ \mathcal{O}^e$

3

ヘロト 人間 トイヨト イヨト



The 4 V's of Big Data: Volume



UNIVERSITÄT BIELEFELD Provided by IBM Big Data & Analytics Hub

DQC^e

THE 4 V'S OF BIG DATA: VELOCITY



UNIVERSITÄT BIELEFELD Provided by IBM Big Data & Analytics Hub

 $\mathcal{O} \land \mathcal{O}^{e}$

The 4 V's of Big Data: Variety





Provided by IBM Big Data & Analytics Hub

DQC^e

THE 4 V'S OF BIG DATA: VERACITY



Provided by IBM Big Data & Analytics Hub

Jace e



DATA MINING – MEANING

- ► Data Mining (from 1990) is used interchangeably with
 - ▶ Big Data (from 2010)
 - Data Science (today)
- ▶ Data mining / Data Science / Big Data is about how to

- store big data
- manage big data
- ▶ analyze big data I THIS COURSE!



DATA MINING – MEANING

- ► Data Mining (from 1990) is used interchangeably with
 - ▶ Big Data (from 2010)
 - Data Science (today)
- ► Data mining / Data Science / Big Data is about how to

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 < つ < < ⁶

- store big data
- manage big data
- ▶ *analyze* big data 🖙 THIS COURSE!



DATA MINING – MEANING

- ► Data Mining (from 1990) is used interchangeably with
 - ▶ Big Data (from 2010)
 - Data Science (today)
- ► Data mining / Data Science / Big Data is about how to

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 < つ < < ⁶

- store big data
- manage big data
- ► analyze big data 🖙 THIS COURSE!



DATA MINING – MODELING

Often, data mining means to construct a map

 $f: \mathsf{Data} \to \mathcal{S}$

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 < つ < < ⁶

where S is a set of useful labels, values, or similar, and analyze this map.

- ► Such a map is a *model*.
- *Example:* Detection of phishing emails



DATA MINING – MODELING

Often, data mining means to construct a map

 $f: \mathsf{Data} \to \mathcal{S}$

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 < つ < ~^e

where S is a set of useful labels, values, or similar, and analyze this map.

- ► Such a map is a *model*.
- *Example:* Detection of phishing emails



MODELING: EXAMPLE

- Consider a weighting scheme that assigns a real number w(x) to words or phrases x
- The larger w(x) the more *x* is indicative of phishing emails
- For example, w(x) is large for x equal to "verify account"
- Consider the map *f* that maps emails *E* to real numbers where

$$f(E) = \sum_{x \in E} w(x)$$

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 < つ < < ⁶

that is, f sums up weights of all words/phrases in the email E



MODELING: EXAMPLE

- Consider a weighting scheme that assigns a real number w(x) to words or phrases x
- The larger w(x) the more x is indicative of phishing emails
- For example, w(x) is large for *x* equal to "verify account"
- Consider the map *f* that maps emails *E* to real numbers where

$$f(E) = \sum_{x \in E} w(x)$$

that is, f sums up weights of all words/phrases in the email E



MODELING: EXAMPLE

- Consider a weighting scheme that assigns a real number w(x) to words or phrases x
- The larger w(x) the more *x* is indicative of phishing emails
- For example, w(x) is large for *x* equal to "verify account"
- Consider the map *f* that maps emails *E* to real numbers where

$$f(E) = \sum_{x \in E} w(x)$$

that is, *f* sums up weights of all words/phrases in the email *E*



DATA MINING – STATISTICAL MODELING

- A *statistical model* of the data is a *probability distribution* that describes the data.
- A *generative model* describes how the data is generated.
- **Example:**
 - Data is a set of integers
 - A statistical model may be a Gaussian distribution that fits the empirical distribution

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 < つ < ~^e



DATA MINING – STATISTICAL MODELING

- ► A *statistical model* of the data is a *probability distribution* that describes the data.
- A *generative model* describes how the data is generated.
- ► Example:
 - Data is a set of integers
 - A statistical model may be a Gaussian distribution that fits the empirical distribution

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 < つ < ~^e



STATISTICAL MODELING – BASIC EXAMPLE

SET OF NUMBERS



From stackoverflow.com:

- ► First fit a Gaussian to the empirical distribution of integers
- Mean and standard deviation sufficient for generating more numbers
 generative model

<ロト < 部 > < 注 > < 注 > < 注 > のへへ⁶



MACHINE LEARNING

- Supervised Learning: Computationally infer model *f* from data points *x* for which *f*(*x*) is known
- ► *Unsupervised Learning:* Computationally infer generative statistical model *P*(*x*)
- Or: computationally infer combinations of the two
- Possible advantage: model highly accurate
- Possible disadvantage: model too complex to be explainable
 advantage: model too complex to be explainable

< ロ > < 母 > < 主 > < 主 > 三 の < ~ ⁶



MACHINE LEARNING

- Supervised Learning: Computationally infer model *f* from data points *x* for which *f*(*x*) is known
- ► *Unsupervised Learning:* Computationally infer generative statistical model *P*(*x*)
- Or: computationally infer combinations of the two
- Possible advantage: model highly accurate
- Possible disadvantage: model too complex to be explainable
 advantage: model too complex to be explainable

< ロ > < 部 > < 注 > < 注 > こ の < < ⁶



MODELING: COMPUTATIONAL APPROACHES

- Provide probability distribution that reflects to have generated the data (see above)
- Summarize all data succinctly and approximately
 - *Example:* Compute the mean and standard deviation of numerical data
- *Extract* only the most *prominent features* of the data, and ignore the rest
 - Consider patient data: keep only height, age, gender, and blood pressure, and discard the rest

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < ○ < ○ ⁶


MODELING: COMPUTATIONAL APPROACHES

- Provide probability distribution that reflects to have generated the data (see above)
- Summarize all data succinctly and approximately
 - *Example:* Compute the mean and standard deviation of numerical data
- *Extract* only the most *prominent features* of the data, and ignore the rest
 - Consider patient data: keep only height, age, gender, and blood pressure, and discard the rest



MODELING: COMPUTATIONAL APPROACHES

- Provide probability distribution that reflects to have generated the data (see above)
- ► *Summarize* all data succinctly and approximately
 - *Example:* Compute the mean and standard deviation of numerical data
- *Extract* only the most *prominent features* of the data, and ignore the rest
 - Consider patient data: keep only height, age, gender, and blood pressure, and discard the rest



SUMMARIZATION

Interesting Examples

► *PageRank*: Summarize each web page into one number

PageRank computes the number of times a random "web walker" hits a page; the more often, the more "important"

- PageRank indicates relevance of web page (relative to a search)
- ► Clustering:
 - Group data points, and choose a summarizing representative for each group



CLUSTERING - EXAMPLE



From http://www.mmds.org. Cholera cases on a map of London:

Clusters forming around contaminated wells

<ロト < (日) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (1) < (



FEATURE EXTRACTION: FREQUENT ITEMSETS

- ► Model: "baskets" containing (relatively small) sets of items
- Example: super market. Baskets = shoppers, items = items chosen for purchase.
- Frequent itemsets: Small groups of items re-appearing in many baskets.
- Example: burgers and ketchup form a frequent itemset consisting of two items.
- The set of frequent itemsets describes the "behaviour" (characterizes) the data.



FEATURE EXTRACTION: FREQUENT ITEMSETS

- ► Model: "baskets" containing (relatively small) sets of items
- Example: super market. Baskets = shoppers, items = items chosen for purchase.
- Frequent itemsets: Small groups of items re-appearing in many baskets.
- Example: burgers and ketchup form a frequent itemset consisting of two items.
- The set of frequent itemsets describes the "behaviour" (characterizes) the data.



FEATURE EXTRACTION: SIMILAR ITEMS

- Model: Data = collection of sets
- ► *Similar items:* Pairs of sets that are sufficiently similar.
- Example: Amazon buyers, mining similar items refers to identifying shoppers that have purchased similar goods
- Used for recommending items to buyers; process is called *collaborative filtering*



Organizational matters

What is Data Mining?

Statistical Limits

Useful Things

<ロト < 部 > < 注 > < 注 > < 注 > のへへ⁶



- The more one searches, the more likely "unusual" events are discovered
- ► Are they still unusual?
- *Issue:* When looking at too many things at a time, one discovers things that are interesting, just because they are statistical artifacts
- Example: Total Awareness Information
 - American response to 9-11.
 - Attempt to spot "unusual" (terrorist like) behaviour in credit-card receipts, flight schedule records, hotel information, and so on.

Vast majority of "terrorist like" behaviour spotted harmless



- The more one searches, the more likely "unusual" events are discovered
- ► Are they still unusual?
- Issue: When looking at too many things at a time, one discovers things that are interesting, just because they are statistical artifacts
- Example: Total Awareness Information
 - American response to 9-11
 - Attempt to spot "unusual" (terrorist like) behaviour in credit-card receipts, flight schedule records, hotel information, and so on.
 - Vast majority of "terrorist like" behaviour spotted harmless



- The more one searches, the more likely "unusual" events are discovered
- ► Are they still unusual?
- *Issue:* When looking at too many things at a time, one discovers things that are interesting, just because they are statistical artifacts
- Example: Total Awareness Information
 - ► American response to 9-11.
 - Attempt to spot "unusual" (terrorist like) behaviour in credit-card receipts, flight schedule records, hotel information, and so on.

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 < つ < < ⁶

Vast majority of "terrorist like" behaviour spotted harmless



- The more one searches, the more likely "unusual" events are discovered
- ► Are they still unusual?
- *Issue:* When looking at too many things at a time, one discovers things that are interesting, just because they are statistical artifacts
- Example: Total Awareness Information
 - ► American response to 9-11.
 - Attempt to spot "unusual" (terrorist like) behaviour in credit-card receipts, flight schedule records, hotel information, and so on.

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 < つ < < ⁶

Vast majority of "terrorist like" behaviour spotted harmless



- The more one searches, the more likely "unusual" events are discovered
- ► Are they still unusual?
- *Issue:* When looking at too many things at a time, one discovers things that are interesting, just because they are statistical artifacts
- Example: Total Awareness Information
 - ► American response to 9-11.
 - Attempt to spot "unusual" (terrorist like) behaviour in credit-card receipts, flight schedule records, hotel information, and so on.

- Vast majority of "terrorist like" behaviour spotted harmless
- ► Bonferroni's principle deals with the corresponding limits



BONFERRONI'S PRINCIPLE

- The number of unlikely events to occur randomly will grow when data grows.
- So, when data is big, many "interesting" things may be bogus, because they are statistical artifacts.
- ► *Bonferroni's principle* computes the probability of unlikely events to occur by chance.

< ロ > < 母 > < 主 > < 主 > 三 の < ~ ⁶



Spot group of "evil-doers" who regularly meet in a hotel.

- ► There are one billion (10⁹) people to be watched
- On average: random people stay in a hotel 1 out of 100 days
- ► On average: a hotel holds 100 people
- ► So we can deal with 100 000 hotels, because

$$100\,000\times 100 = \frac{10^9}{100}$$

< □ > 4 同 > 4 三 > 4 三 > 1 の < 0⁶



- Definition of evil-doers: Pairs meet in two different hotels on two different days
- ► Let us assume that there aren't any evil-doers
- Question: What is the probability to spot a pair of "evil-doers" although there aren't any, just by random effects?



 Probability that two randomly picked people visit a hotel on one particular day:

 $0.01 \times 0.01 = 10^{-4}$

Probability that they choose the same hotel:

 $1 \times 10^{-5} = 10^{-5}$

Probability that two random people meet in the same hotel on one day is:

Probability that two random people meet in the same hotel on two particular, different days is:



 Probability that two randomly picked people visit a hotel on one particular day:

 $0.01 \times 0.01 = 10^{-4}$

Probability that they choose the same hotel:

 $1\times 10^{-5} = 10^{-5}$

Probability that two random people meet in the same hotel on one day is:

Probability that two random people meet in the same hotel on two particular, different days is:



 Probability that two randomly picked people visit a hotel on one particular day:

 $0.01 \times 0.01 = 10^{-4}$

Probability that they choose the same hotel:

 $1\times 10^{-5} = 10^{-5}$

Probability that two random people meet in the same hotel on one day is:

Probability that two random people meet in the same hotel on two particular, different days is:



 Probability that two randomly picked people visit a hotel on one particular day:

 $0.01 \times 0.01 = 10^{-4}$

Probability that they choose the same hotel:

$$1 \times 10^{-5} = 10^{-5}$$

Probability that two random people meet in the same hotel on one day is:

$$10^{-4} \times 10^{-5} = 10^{-9}$$

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 < つ < < ⁶

Probability that two random people meet in the same hotel on two particular, different days is:



 Probability that two randomly picked people visit a hotel on one particular day:

 $0.01 \times 0.01 = 10^{-4}$

Probability that they choose the same hotel:

$$1 \times 10^{-5} = 10^{-5}$$

Probability that two random people meet in the same hotel on one day is:

$$10^{-4} \times 10^{-5} = 10^{-9}$$

Probability that two random people meet in the same hotel on two particular, different days is:



 Probability that two randomly picked people visit a hotel on one particular day:

 $0.01 \times 0.01 = 10^{-4}$

Probability that they choose the same hotel:

$$1 \times 10^{-5} = 10^{-5}$$

Probability that two random people meet in the same hotel on one day is:

$$10^{-4} \times 10^{-5} = 10^{-9}$$

Probability that two random people meet in the same hotel on two particular, different days is:

$$10^{-9} \times 10^{-9} = 10^{-18}$$



 Probability that two random people meet in the same hotel on two different days is

 $10^{-9} \times 10^{-9} = 10^{-18}$

- Clearly the more people and the more days, the greater the chance that two random people meet in the same hotel on the same day.
- Number of pairs of people and pairs of days is:

$$\binom{10^9}{2} = 5 \times 10^{17}$$
 and $\binom{1000}{2} = 5 \times 10^5$

So, number of random(!) events that meet the definition of "evil-doing" is

 $10^{-18} \times (5 \times 10^{17}) \times (5 \times 10^5) = 250\,000$



 Probability that two random people meet in the same hotel on two different days is

$$10^{-9} \times 10^{-9} = 10^{-18}$$

- Clearly the more people and the more days, the greater the chance that two random people meet in the same hotel on the same day.
- Number of pairs of people and pairs of days is:

$$\binom{10^9}{2} = 5 \times 10^{17}$$
 and $\binom{1000}{2} = 5 \times 10^5$

So, number of random(!) events that meet the definition of "evil-doing" is

 $10^{-18} \times (5 \times 10^{17}) \times (5 \times 10^5) = 250\,000$



 Probability that two random people meet in the same hotel on two different days is

$$10^{-9} \times 10^{-9} = 10^{-18}$$

- Clearly the more people and the more days, the greater the chance that two random people meet in the same hotel on the same day.
- Number of pairs of people and pairs of days is:

$$\binom{10^9}{2} = 5 \times 10^{17}$$
 and $\binom{1000}{2} = 5 \times 10^5$

So, number of random(!) events that meet the definition of "evil-doing" is

 $10^{-18} \times (5 \times 10^{17}) \times (5 \times 10^5) = 250\,000$



 Probability that two random people meet in the same hotel on two different days is

$$10^{-9} \times 10^{-9} = 10^{-18}$$

- Clearly the more people and the more days, the greater the chance that two random people meet in the same hotel on the same day.
- Number of pairs of people and pairs of days is:

$$\binom{10^9}{2} = 5 \times 10^{17}$$
 and $\binom{1000}{2} = 5 \times 10^5$

So, number of random(!) events that meet the definition of "evil-doing" is

$$10^{-18}\times(5\times10^{17})\times(5\times10^5)=250\,000$$

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 < つ < < ⁶



 Probability that two random people meet in the same hotel on two different days is

$$10^{-9} \times 10^{-9} = 10^{-18}$$

- Clearly the more people and the more days, the greater the chance that two random people meet in the same hotel on the same day.
- Number of pairs of people and pairs of days is:

$$\binom{10^9}{2} = 5 \times 10^{17}$$
 and $\binom{1000}{2} = 5 \times 10^5$

So, number of random(!) events that meet the definition of "evil-doing" is

 $10^{-18} \times (5 \times 10^{17}) \times (5 \times 10^5) = 250\,000$



Organizational matters

What is Data Mining?

Statistical Limits

Useful Things

+ ロ ト 4 湿 ト 4 Ξ ト 4 Ξ ト Ξ - の Q ()⁶



USEFUL THINGS TO KNOW

- ► The TD.IDF measure of word importance
- Hash functions
- Secondary storage (disk) and running time of algorithms

- ► The natural logarithm
- Power laws



- Goal: Find words in documents (such as emails, news articles) that are characteristic of the contents
- Example: in texts on the corona virus, you may see "corona", "virus", "infection", "cough", "fever" more often than usual
- However: the most frequent words are likely to be "the" and "and" (or the likes)

So, words indicative of topics are rather rare.



- Goal: Find words in documents (such as emails, news articles) that are characteristic of the contents
- Example: in texts on the corona virus, you may see "corona", "virus", "infection", "cough", "fever" more often than usual
- However: the most frequent words are likely to be "the" and "and" (or the likes)

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 < つ < < ⁶

► So, words indicative of topics are rather rare.



- However: the most frequent words are likely to be "the" and "and" (or the likes)
- ► So, words indicative of topics are rather rare.
- While, of course, there are also many rare words (such as "albeit", "notwithstanding" or similar) that are not indicative of the topic, because rather generic.
- ► How to find words indicative of topics of interest?
- Compute the TF.IDF = Term Frequency times Inverse Document Frequency!



- However: the most frequent words are likely to be "the" and "and" (or the likes)
- ► So, words indicative of topics are rather rare.
- While, of course, there are also many rare words (such as "albeit", "notwithstanding" or similar) that are not indicative of the topic, because rather generic.
- ▶ How to find words indicative of topics of interest?
- Compute the TF.IDF = Term Frequency times Inverse Document Frequency!

< ロ > < 戸 > < 三 > < 三 > 、 三 の へ ^e



- However: the most frequent words are likely to be "the" and "and" (or the likes)
- ► So, words indicative of topics are rather rare.
- While, of course, there are also many rare words (such as "albeit", "notwithstanding" or similar) that are not indicative of the topic, because rather generic.
- ► How to find words indicative of topics of interest?
- Compute the TF.IDF = Term Frequency times Inverse Document Frequency!



COMPUTING THE TF.IDF

► Compute the *Term Frequency TF*_{ij}

$$TF_{ij} = \frac{f_{ij}}{\max_k f_{kj}} \tag{1}$$

where f_{ij} is the number of occurrences of word *i* in document *j*.

- ▶ Note: the most frequent term in document *j* gets a TF of 1.
- Compute the Inverse Document Frequency IDF_i of i as

$$IDF_i = \log_2(\frac{N}{n_i}) \tag{2}$$

where *N* is the number of documents overall, and n_i is the number of documents in which word *i* appears.

- So, $n_i \leq N$ and $IDF_i \geq 0$
- ▶ TF.IDF for term *i* in document *j* is defined to be

$$TF_{ij} \times IDF_i$$
 (3)



COMPUTING THE TF.IDF

Compute the Term Frequency TF_{ij}

$$TF_{ij} = \frac{f_{ij}}{\max_k f_{kj}} \tag{1}$$

where f_{ij} is the number of occurrences of word *i* in document *j*.

- ▶ Note: the most frequent term in document *j* gets a TF of 1.
- ► Compute the *Inverse Document Frequency IDF*_i of *i* as

$$IDF_i = \log_2(\frac{N}{n_i}) \tag{2}$$

where *N* is the number of documents overall, and n_i is the number of documents in which word *i* appears.

• So,
$$n_i \leq N$$
 and $IDF_i \geq 0$

▶ TF.IDF for term *i* in document *j* is defined to be

$$\Gamma F_{ij} imes IDF_i$$


COMPUTING THE TF.IDF

Compute the Term Frequency TF_{ij}

$$TF_{ij} = \frac{f_{ij}}{\max_k f_{kj}} \tag{1}$$

where f_{ij} is the number of occurrences of word *i* in document *j*.

- ▶ Note: the most frequent term in document *j* gets a TF of 1.
- ► Compute the *Inverse Document Frequency IDF*_i of *i* as

$$IDF_i = \log_2(\frac{N}{n_i}) \tag{2}$$

where *N* is the number of documents overall, and n_i is the number of documents in which word *i* appears.

- So, $n_i \leq N$ and $IDF_i \geq 0$
- ► TF.IDF for term *i* in document *j* is defined to be

$$TF_{ij} \times IDF_i$$
 (3)



Terms with highest TF.IDF are often the terms that explain the document best. Why?

If a word *i* appears in all documents:

$$IDF_i = \log_2(\frac{N}{n_i}) \stackrel{n_i = N}{=} \log_2(1) = 0$$

so that word cannot be characteristic of any document



- Terms with highest TF.IDF are often the terms that explain the document best. Why?
- ▶ If a word *i* appears in all documents:

$$IDF_i = \log_2(\frac{N}{n_i}) \stackrel{n_i = N}{=} \log_2(1) = 0$$

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 < つ < ~^e

so that word cannot be characteristic of any document



- Terms with highest TF.IDF are often the terms that explain the document best. Why?
- Suppose we have 2²⁰ documents

Suppose word *w* appears in 2¹⁰ documents:

 $IDF_w = \log_2(2^{20}/2^{10}) = \log_2(2^{10}) = 10$

Consider document *j* in which *w* appears 20 times, which is the maximum of appearances in one document:

$$TF_{wj} = \frac{20}{20} = 1$$
, so $TF.IDF_{wj} = 10$

Consider document k, in which w appears once

$$TF.IDF_{wk} = \frac{1}{2}$$

<ロト < 部 > < 注 > < 注 > < 注 > のへへ⁶



- Terms with highest TF.IDF are often the terms that explain the document best. Why?
- ► Suppose we have 2²⁰ documents
 - ► Suppose word *w* appears in 2¹⁰ documents:

$$IDF_w = \log_2(2^{20}/2^{10}) = \log_2(2^{10}) = 10$$

Consider document *j* in which *w* appears 20 times, which is the maximum of appearances in one document:

$$TF_{wj} = \frac{20}{20} = 1$$
, so $TF.IDF_{wj} = 10$

Consider document *k*, in which *w* appears once:

$$TF.IDF_{wk} = \frac{1}{2}$$



- Terms with highest TF.IDF are often the terms that explain the document best. Why?
- ► Suppose we have 2²⁰ documents
 - ► Suppose word *w* appears in 2¹⁰ documents:

$$IDF_w = \log_2(2^{20}/2^{10}) = \log_2(2^{10}) = 10$$

Consider document *j* in which *w* appears 20 times, which is the maximum of appearances in one document:

$$TF_{wj} = \frac{20}{20} = 1$$
, so $TF.IDF_{wj} = 10$

Consider document *k*, in which *w* appears once:

$$TF.IDF_{wk} = \frac{1}{2}$$



- Terms with highest TF.IDF are often the terms that explain the document best. Why?
- ► Suppose we have 2²⁰ documents
 - ► Suppose word *w* appears in 2¹⁰ documents:

$$IDF_w = \log_2(2^{20}/2^{10}) = \log_2(2^{10}) = 10$$

Consider document *j* in which *w* appears 20 times, which is the maximum of appearances in one document:

$$TF_{wj} = \frac{20}{20} = 1$$
, so $TF.IDF_{wj} = 10$

Consider document k, in which w appears once:

$$TF.IDF_{wk} = \frac{1}{2}$$



- ► A hash function takes a *hash-key x* as input and maps it to a bucket number.
- The bucket number is a an integer in the range from 0 to B-1, where B is the number of buckets.
- *Example*: Hash-keys are positive integers.

 $h(x) = x \mod B$

which is the remainder of *x* when dividing it by *B*. Often, *B* is a prime.



- ► A hash function takes a *hash-key x* as input and maps it to a bucket number.
- The bucket number is a an integer in the range from 0 to B-1, where B is the number of buckets.
- *Example*: Hash-keys are positive integers.

 $h(x) = x \mod B$

which is the remainder of *x* when dividing it by *B*. Often, *B* is a prime.



- ► If hash-keys are not integers, they are often converted to integers.
- Example: if hash-keys are strings, one can map each character to its ASCII code, and sum them up, before dividing them by B.
- If hash-keys have several components (such as arrays), convert each component to integer, and sum them up.
- Let $h(x) := x \mod 5$. Example:

h("AB") = h(ord('A') + ord('B')) = h(65 + 66) = h(131) = 1



- ► If hash-keys are not integers, they are often converted to integers.
- Example: if hash-keys are strings, one can map each character to its ASCII code, and sum them up, before dividing them by *B*.
- If hash-keys have several components (such as arrays), convert each component to integer, and sum them up.

• Let $h(x) := x \mod 5$. Example:

h("AB") = h(ord('A') + ord('B')) = h(65 + 66) = h(131) = 1



- ▶ If hash-keys are not integers, they are often converted to integers.
- Example: if hash-keys are strings, one can map each character to its ASCII code, and sum them up, before dividing them by B.
- If hash-keys have several components (such as arrays), convert each component to integer, and sum them up.
- Let $h(x) := x \mod 5$. Example:

h("AB") = h(ord('A') + ord('B')) = h(65 + 66) = h(131) = 1



- ▶ If hash-keys are not integers, they are often converted to integers.
- Example: if hash-keys are strings, one can map each character to its ASCII code, and sum them up, before dividing them by B.
- If hash-keys have several components (such as arrays), convert each component to integer, and sum them up.

• Let
$$h(x) := x \mod 5$$
. Example:

h("AB") = h(ord('A') + ord('B')) = h(65 + 66) = h(131) = 1

< ロ > < 部 > < 注 > < 注 > こ の < < ⁶



NUMBER OF KEYS VS NUMBER OF BUCKETS

- ► Usually, there are more than *B* hash-keys conceivable; but usually not all of them are in use.
- ▶ If only less than *B* hash-keys are in use, with only little probability, hash collisions

 $x_1 \neq x_2$ but $h(x_1) = h(x_2)$

happen to occur.

- ▶ If number of hash-keys is much larger than *B*, then hash functions "randomize" keys, by distributing them (optimally) uniformly across the whole range [0,B-1]
- ▶ That is more likely to happen when *B* is a prime



NUMBER OF KEYS VS NUMBER OF BUCKETS

- ► Usually, there are more than *B* hash-keys conceivable; but usually not all of them are in use.
- ▶ If only less than *B* hash-keys are in use, with only little probability, hash collisions

 $x_1 \neq x_2$ but $h(x_1) = h(x_2)$

happen to occur.

- ▶ If number of hash-keys is much larger than *B*, then hash functions "randomize" keys, by distributing them (optimally) uniformly across the whole range [0,B-1]
- ▶ That is more likely to happen when *B* is a prime



NUMBER OF KEYS VS NUMBER OF BUCKETS

- Usually, there are more than *B* hash-keys conceivable; but usually not all of them are in use.
- If only less than B hash-keys are in use, with only little probability, hash collisions

 $x_1 \neq x_2$ but $h(x_1) = h(x_2)$

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 < つ < < ⁶

happen to occur.

- ► If number of hash-keys is much larger than *B*, then hash functions "randomize" keys, by distributing them (optimally) uniformly across the whole range [0,B-1]
- ▶ That is more likely to happen when *B* is a prime



INDEXES

- Data structure that enables to retrieve all records specified by a particular feature.
- *Example:* Consider an address book with entries (name, address, phone number). We would like to retrieve all entries with a particular phone number.

<ロト < 部 > < 注 > < 注 > < 注 > のへへ⁶

• One solution is to use a hash table:



INDEXES

- Data structure that enables to retrieve all records specified by a particular feature.
- *Example*: Consider an address book with entries (name, address, phone number). We would like to retrieve all entries with a particular phone number.

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 < つ < < ⁶

• One solution is to use a hash table:



INDEXES

- Data structure that enables to retrieve all records specified by a particular feature.
- *Example*: Consider an address book with entries (name, address, phone number). We would like to retrieve all entries with a particular phone number.
- One solution is to use a hash table:



Hash table used as index for retrieving address records based by their phone number



- Important to keep in mind when dealing with big data: accessing data from disks (hard drives) costs time (and energy).
- Disks are organized into blocks; e.g. blocks of 64K bytes.
- ▶ Takes approx. 10 milliseconds to *access* and read a disk block.
- ▶ About 10⁵ times slower than accessing data in main memory.
- And taking a block to main memory costs more time than executing the computations on the data when being in main memory.



- Important to keep in mind when dealing with big data: accessing data from disks (hard drives) costs time (and energy).
- ► Disks are organized into blocks; e.g. blocks of 64K bytes.
- ► Takes approx. 10 milliseconds to *access* and read a disk block.
- ▶ About 10⁵ times slower than accessing data in main memory.
- And taking a block to main memory costs more time than executing the computations on the data when being in main memory.



- Important to keep in mind when dealing with big data: accessing data from disks (hard drives) costs time (and energy).
- ▶ Disks are organized into blocks; e.g. blocks of 64K bytes.
- Takes approx. 10 milliseconds to *access* and read a disk block.
- ► About 10⁵ times slower than accessing data in main memory.
- And taking a block to main memory costs more time than executing the computations on the data when being in main memory.



- One can alleviate problem by putting related data on a single *cylinder*, where accessing all blocks on a cylinder costs considerably less time per block.
- This establishes a limit of 100MB per second to transfer blocks to main memory.
- If data is in the hundreds of gigabytes, let alone terabytes, this is an issue.
- ► Integrate this knowledge into runtime considerations when dealing with big data!

< ロ > < 戸 > < 三 > < 三 > 、 三 の へ ^e



- One can alleviate problem by putting related data on a single *cylinder*, where accessing all blocks on a cylinder costs considerably less time per block.
- This establishes a limit of 100MB per second to transfer blocks to main memory.
- If data is in the hundreds of gigabytes, let alone terabytes, this is an issue.
- Integrate this knowledge into runtime considerations when dealing with big data!



- One can alleviate problem by putting related data on a single *cylinder*, where accessing all blocks on a cylinder costs considerably less time per block.
- This establishes a limit of 100MB per second to transfer blocks to main memory.
- If data is in the hundreds of gigabytes, let alone terabytes, this is an issue.
- Integrate this knowledge into runtime considerations when dealing with big data!



THE NATURAL LOGARITHM I

► Euler constant:

$$e = \lim_{x \to \infty} (1 + \frac{1}{x})^x \approx 2.71828$$
 (4)

• Consider computing $(1 + a)^b$ where *a* is small:

$$(1+a)^b = (1+a)^{(1/a)(ab)} \stackrel{a=1/x}{=} (1+\frac{1}{x})^{x(ab)} = ((1+\frac{1}{x})^x)^{ab} \stackrel{x \text{ large}}{\approx} e^{ab}$$

• Consider computing $(1 - a)^b$ where *a* is small:

$$(1-a)^b = ((1-\frac{1}{x})^x)^{ab} \stackrel{x \text{ large}}{\approx} e^{-ab}$$



THE NATURAL LOGARITHM I

► Euler constant:

$$e = \lim_{x \to \infty} (1 + \frac{1}{x})^x \approx 2.71828$$
 (4)

• Consider computing $(1 + a)^b$ where *a* is small:

$$(1+a)^{b} = (1+a)^{(1/a)(ab)} \stackrel{a=1/x}{=} (1+\frac{1}{x})^{x(ab)} = ((1+\frac{1}{x})^{x})^{ab} \stackrel{x \text{ large}}{\approx} e^{ab}$$

• Consider computing $(1 - a)^b$ where *a* is small:

$$(1-a)^b = ((1-\frac{1}{x})^x)^{ab} \stackrel{x \text{ large}}{\approx} e^{-ab}$$



THE NATURAL LOGARITHM I

► Euler constant:

$$e = \lim_{x \to \infty} (1 + \frac{1}{x})^x \approx 2.71828$$
 (4)

• Consider computing $(1 + a)^b$ where *a* is small:

$$(1+a)^{b} = (1+a)^{(1/a)(ab)} \stackrel{a=1/x}{=} (1+\frac{1}{x})^{x(ab)} = ((1+\frac{1}{x})^{x})^{ab} \stackrel{x \text{ large}}{\approx} e^{ab}$$

• Consider computing $(1 - a)^b$ where *a* is small:

$$(1-a)^b = ((1-\frac{1}{x})^x)^{ab} \stackrel{x \text{ large}}{\approx} e^{-ab}$$



• The Taylor expansion of e^x is

$$e^{x} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{i}}{i!} = 1 + x + \frac{x^{2}}{2} + \frac{x^{3}}{6} + \frac{x^{4}}{24} + \dots$$

(5)

Convergence slow on large *x*, so not helpful.

Convergence fast on small (positive and negative) *x*.

• *Example:*
$$x = 1/2$$

$$e^{1/2} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{48} + \frac{1}{384} + \dots \approx 1.64844$$

Example: x = -1

$$e^{-1} = 1 - 1 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{24} - \frac{1}{120} + \frac{1}{720} - \frac{1}{5040} \dots \approx 0.36786$$



• The Taylor expansion of e^x is

$$e^{x} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{i}}{i!} = 1 + x + \frac{x^{2}}{2} + \frac{x^{3}}{6} + \frac{x^{4}}{24} + \dots$$
(5)

- ► Convergence slow on large *x*, so not helpful.
- ► Convergence fast on small (positive and negative) *x*.

• Example:
$$x = 1/2$$

 $e^{1/2} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{48} + \frac{1}{384} + \dots \approx 1.64844$

Example: x = -1

$$e^{-1} = 1 - 1 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{24} - \frac{1}{120} + \frac{1}{720} - \frac{1}{5040} \dots \approx 0.36786$$



• The Taylor expansion of e^x is

$$e^{x} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{i}}{i!} = 1 + x + \frac{x^{2}}{2} + \frac{x^{3}}{6} + \frac{x^{4}}{24} + \dots$$
(5)

- ► Convergence slow on large *x*, so not helpful.
- ► Convergence fast on small (positive and negative) *x*.

• *Example:*
$$x = 1/2$$

$$e^{1/2} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{48} + \frac{1}{384} + \dots \approx 1.64844$$

Example: x = -1

$$e^{-1} = 1 - 1 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{24} - \frac{1}{120} + \frac{1}{720} - \frac{1}{5040} \dots \approx 0.36786$$



• The Taylor expansion of e^x is

$$e^{x} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{i}}{i!} = 1 + x + \frac{x^{2}}{2} + \frac{x^{3}}{6} + \frac{x^{4}}{24} + \dots$$
 (5)

- ► Convergence slow on large *x*, so not helpful.
- ► Convergence fast on small (positive and negative) *x*.

• *Example:*
$$x = 1/2$$

$$e^{1/2} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{48} + \frac{1}{384} + \dots \approx 1.64844$$

• Example:
$$x = -1$$

$$e^{-1} = 1 - 1 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{24} - \frac{1}{120} + \frac{1}{720} - \frac{1}{5040} \dots \approx 0.36786$$

< ロ > < 母 > < 主 > < 主 > 三 の < < ⁶



POWER LAWS

- Consider two variables *y* and *x* and their functional relationship.
- General form of a power law is

$$\log y = b + a \log x \tag{6}$$

< ロ > < 母 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 < つ < ~⁶

so a linear relationship between the logarithms of *x* and *y*.



POWER LAW: EXAMPLE



 $\log_{10} y = 6 - 2 \log_{10} x$

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{O} \mathcal{O}^{e}$

1

ヘロト 人間 ト 人 田 ト 人 田 ト



POWER LAWS

Power law:

$$\log y = b + a \log x \tag{7}$$

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 < つ < < ⁶

Transforming yields:

$$y = e^b \cdot e^{a \log x} = e^b \cdot e^{\log x^a} = e^b \cdot x^a$$

so power law expresses polynomial relationship $y = cx^a$



REAL WORLD SCENARIOS

- ► Node degrees in web graph
 - Nodes are web pages
 - Nodes are linked when there are links between pages
 - Order pages by numbers of links: number of links as a function of the order number is power law
- Sales of products: y is the number of sales of the x-th most popular item (books at amazon.com, say)
- Sizes of web sites: *y* is number of pages at the *x*-th largest web site
- *Zipf's Law:* Order words in document by frequency, and let *y* be the number of times the *x*-th word appears in the document.
 - **•** Zipf found the relationship to approximately reflect $y = cx^{-1/2}$

- Other relationships follow that law, too. For example, y is population of x-th most populous (American) state.
- Summary: The Matthew Effect = "The rich get ever richer"


- ► Node degrees in web graph
 - Nodes are web pages
 - Nodes are linked when there are links between pages
 - Order pages by numbers of links: number of links as a function of the order number is power law
- Sales of products: y is the number of sales of the x-th most popular item (books at amazon.com, say)
- ▶ *Sizes of web sites: y* is number of pages at the *x*-th largest web site
- *Zipf's Law:* Order words in document by frequency, and let *y* be the number of times the *x*-th word appears in the document.
 - Zipf found the relationship to approximately reflect $y = cx^{-1/2}$ • Other relationships follow that law, too. For example, *y* is

- population of *x*-th most populous (American) state.
- Summary: The Matthew Effect = "The rich get ever richer"



- ► Node degrees in web graph
 - Nodes are web pages
 - Nodes are linked when there are links between pages
 - Order pages by numbers of links: number of links as a function of the order number is power law
- Sales of products: y is the number of sales of the x-th most popular item (books at amazon.com, say)
- ► *Sizes of web sites: y* is number of pages at the *x*-th largest web site
- *Zipf's Law:* Order words in document by frequency, and let *y* be the number of times the *x*-th word appears in the document.
 - Zipf found the relationship to approximately reflect y = cx^{-1/2}
 Other relationships follow that law, too. For example, y is population of *x*-th most populous (American) state.

• Summary: *The Matthew Effect* = "The rich get ever richer"



- ► Node degrees in web graph
 - Nodes are web pages
 - Nodes are linked when there are links between pages
 - Order pages by numbers of links: number of links as a function of the order number is power law
- Sales of products: y is the number of sales of the x-th most popular item (books at amazon.com, say)
- ► *Sizes of web sites: y* is number of pages at the *x*-th largest web site
- Zipf's Law: Order words in document by frequency, and let y be the number of times the x-th word appears in the document.
 - Zipf found the relationship to approximately reflect $y = cx^{-1/2}$.

Other relationships follow that law, too. For example, y is population of x-th most populous (American) state.

Summary: The Matthew Effect = "The rich get ever richer"

- ► Node degrees in web graph
 - Nodes are web pages
 - Nodes are linked when there are links between pages
 - Order pages by numbers of links: number of links as a function of the order number is power law
- Sales of products: y is the number of sales of the x-th most popular item (books at amazon.com, say)
- ► *Sizes of web sites: y* is number of pages at the *x*-th largest web site
- Zipf's Law: Order words in document by frequency, and let y be the number of times the x-th word appears in the document.
 - Zipf found the relationship to approximately reflect $y = cx^{-1/2}$.

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 < つ < < ⁶

Other relationships follow that law, too. For example, y is population of x-th most populous (American) state.

Summary: The Matthew Effect = "The rich get ever richer"



- ► Node degrees in web graph
 - Nodes are web pages
 - Nodes are linked when there are links between pages
 - Order pages by numbers of links: number of links as a function of the order number is power law
- Sales of products: y is the number of sales of the x-th most popular item (books at amazon.com, say)
- ► *Sizes of web sites: y* is number of pages at the *x*-th largest web site
- Zipf's Law: Order words in document by frequency, and let y be the number of times the x-th word appears in the document.
 - Zipf found the relationship to approximately reflect $y = cx^{-1/2}$.
 - Other relationships follow that law, too. For example, y is population of x-th most populous (American) state.

► Summary: The Matthew Effect = "The rich get ever richer"

MATERIALS / OUTLOOK

- ► See Mining of Massive Datasets, chapter 1
- See further http://www.mmds.org/ in general for further resources

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < ○ < ○⁶

- ► Next lecture: "Finding Similar Items"
 - ► See Mining of Massive Datasets 3.1–3.6

